



Minutes of Meeting

NO/AMC/DE(WS)/Jogakumbh
Civil/801/2015 01-23/12
2015

Project	Aurangabad Water Supply Project
Client	Aurangabad Municipal Corporation (AMC)
Meeting Date	November 26, 2015
Meeting agenda	The agenda of the meeting was to discuss various issues raised by Samantar Yojana Nagari Kruti Samiti, and replies prepared by AMC officials.
Meeting Venue	In the Office of the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Aurangabad
Meeting Background	AMC Commissioner had conducted a joint meeting with AMC Officials, the Concessionaire and Samantar Yojana Nagari Kruti Samiti, to discuss various issues raised by the Kruti Samiti on November 21, 2015. AMC Commissioner had asked AMC Officials to prepare a detailed replies/clarifications on various issues raised by the Kruti Samiti. The meeting was called to discuss these replies.
Meeting attended by:	<p>Aurangabad Municipal Corporation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Mr. Sunil Kendrekar, I.A.S. – Commissioner, AMC▪ Mr.S. D. Panzade – City Engineer, AMC▪ Mr. Hemant Kolhe – Executive Engineer, AMC▪ Mr. A. A.Thorat-Chief Account Officer, AMC▪ Mr. K. M. Phalak – Deputy Engineer, AMC▪ Mr. U.G.Shirsath – Deputy Engineer, AMC▪ Mr. Setu Divekar, Consultant to AMC <p>Aurangabad City Water Utility Company Limited (Concessionaire)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Mr. Ram Mundra,▪ Mr. Jai Shankar Dwivedi▪ Mr. Arnab Ghosh,▪ Mr. Sonal Khurana▪ Mr. Ashish Porwal▪ Mr.Ramesh Sonkamble <p>Unity Consultants Private Limited – Independent Engineer</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Mr. Naresh Nanotkar – Project Head, Aurangabad Water Supply Project <p>KND & Associates, Chartered Accountants- Independent Auditor,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Mr. Kailas Kejgir- Managing Partner- Independent Auditor, Aurangabad Water Supply Project
Points Discussed in the Meeting	AMC welcomed all participants in the meeting and explained the intent and agenda of the meeting. AMC and Concessionaire then discussed following issues:

Page-1 of 2


[Signature]

[Signature]

1
[Signature]
23/11/15

	<p>a) Water Availability in Jaikwadi Dam for future use - Considering the siltation study and on recommendation of Irrigation Dept, the Headwork has been designed so that water can be lifted from 450 m water level. The Commissioner mentioned that recommendation of Mendegiri Samiti shall be referred;</p> <p>b) Relevance of Construction of New MBR – The Commissioner asked the need of new MBR. AMC Official, IE representative & Concessionaire representative mentioned that considering the future demand it will be required. Also the proposed MBR at the higher elevation which reduce the booster pumping stations within the City from 10 nos. to 4 nos. Commissioner asked the cost analysis from IE for construction of new MBR and reduction of operational cost due to reduction in City Booster numbers;</p> <p>c) Possibility of reduction in number of proposed ESRs - AMC Officials mentioned that there are 63 total no. of ESRs/GSRs within the city out of which 51 no. of ESRs/GSRs are in use. IE mentioned that in order to achieve Project Mandatory Condition requirement i.e. 12 m head and 24X7 water supply in city as per Concession Agreement, the hydraulic model is designed. As per Hydraulic Model it will require 45 new ESRs/GSRs across the city. The Commissioner mentioned that we should utilize all the existing infrastructures to the extent possible. Possibility of use the existing ESRs/GSRs considering the 7 to 9 meter nodal water pressure and new ESRs with 12 m nodal water pressure shall be checked. There should not be more than 12 no. of proposed ESRs. It was also discussed that is there really any need of 24X7 water supply;</p> <p>d) Reducing the Distribution Network Length - Commissioner mentioned the proposed distribution network length looks quite over estimated. AMC official mentioned that in the original sanctioned scheme 455 Km length was considered on lump sum basis however, later on actual basis it was estimated 1290 Km. The Commissioner asked to review the same and possibility of reduction of length shall be considered. Concessionaire mentioned that in order to reduce the project cost use of HDPE should be relooked; and</p> <p>e) Financial Model – The Commissioner asked to submit the Financial Model for review.</p>
Next Steps	It was decided to discuss the balance issues and Concessionaire's financial model in the next meeting.

Page - 2 of 2


 Commissioner
 23/12/15
 Aurangabad Municipal Corporation
